

Geropathology Grading Committee Workshop, January 2017

The Emerging Role of Geropathology in Preclinical Aging Studies

The Geropathology Grading Committee was formed for the purpose of developing guidelines for a scoring system based on increasing severity of lesions associated with increasing age, using the mouse as the preclinical prototype model. The committee consists of a chair, Warren Ladiges, DVM, MSc, and a program coordinator, John Morton, BS, from the Department of Comparative Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, and six board certified veterinary pathologists: Denny Liggitt, DVM, PhD and Jessica Snyder, DVM, MS, from the Department of Comparative Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, Tim Snider, DVM, PhD, from the Department of Veterinary Pathology, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, Erby Wilkinson, DVM, PhD, from the Department of Pathology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, Denise Imai, DVM, PhD, from the Department of Comparative Pathology, University of California, Davis, CA, and Smitha Pillai, DVM, PhD, from the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA.

The committee has been actively engaged in teleconferences, meetings and workshops to develop the Geropathology Grading Platform (GGP). The GGP is based on a standard set of guidelines designed to 1) detect the histological presence or absence of low impact lesions; and 2) determine the level of severity of high impact lesions in organs from aged mice (Ladiges et al., 2016). The platform is designed to generate a numerical score for each lesion in a specific organ, so that a total lesion score is obtained by adding each lesion score for that organ for one mouse. Total lesion scores are averaged between all mice in a specific cohort to obtain a composite lesion score (CLS) for that organ. The CLS can then be used to compare response to drug treatment over time, determine effect of alterations in gene expression, or investigate impact of environmental challenges in a variety of preclinical aging studies (Ladiges, 2016). This platform has been used to compare CLS in two different mouse strains at increasing ages showing that CLS increase similarly in both strains with increasing age but at different rates in different organs (Ladiges et al., 2017). The platform also showed that middle-age mice treated with the anti-aging drug rapamycin for two months had lower CLS than mice treated with placebo. CLS correlate well with other measures of aging such as chronic progressive heart disease defined by an increasing left ventricular mass index (Ladiges et al., 2017), and an age-dependent increase in carpal joint lesions in association with a decrease in grip strength of the front paw (Ge et al., 2016). These observations help establish the value of the GGP as a measure of biological aging aligned with mouse lifespan studies and physiological findings.

The organs used in these initial assessments were heart, lungs, paw, liver, and kidney, and were shown to have highly representative age-associated lesions. Additional organs and tissues would help increase the scoring leverage. In this regard, the Geropathology Grading Committee participated in a workshop in January of this year (2017). This was a hands-on workshop in the Department of Comparative Medicine's 8-headed microscope room, on the University of Washington campus in Seattle, WA, enabling the reading of slides by a small group of pathologists and trainees (Figure 1). The two trainees attending the workshop were Sarah Rostad, DVM, PhD from the Department of Veterinary Pathology, Oklahoma State University and Oklahoma Medical Foundation, Oklahoma City, and Gigi Ge, MD, PhD from the Department of Comparative Medicine, University of Washington. A large viewing screen showing the slide being examined was available in the room so that additional individuals could be accommodated. Over a two-day period a large number of slides were




Figure 1. 2017 Geropathology Workshop participants. From left to right John Morton, Dr. Sarah Rostad, Dr. Tim Snider, Dr. Denise Imai, Dr. Denny Liggitt, Dr. Jessica Snyder, Dr. Erby Wilkinson, Dr. Warren Ladiges, and Dr. Gigi Ge. Dr. Smitha Pillai attended the workshop but was not present at the time of the photo.

Over a two-day period a large number of slides were

read and a consensus reached on grading scores and descriptive guidelines for 1) the head consisting of brain, nasal cavity, eyelid, teeth, Harderian glands, and inner ear; 2) hind limb consisting of joint, skeletal muscle, bone and bone marrow; 3) reproductive organs; and 4) pancreas. These are currently being added to the GGP so that CLS can be generated for them as well (Figure 2).

A second objective of the workshop was to establish the duplicatability of the GGP, i.e., to see if generation of CLS by different pathologists could be duplicated. Figures 3 and 4 show that CLS were consistent among three different pathologists that blindly read the same liver or kidney slides from four age groups of C57BL/6Jnia mice. These preliminary observations provide evidence that the platform is duplicatable, but more comparisons are needed to confirm these initial findings.




Figure 2. The Geropathology Grading Platform uses various organs and tissues to generate composite lesions scores. These now include heart, lungs, kidney, liver, the head consisting of brain, nasal cavity, eyelid, teeth, Harderian glands, and inner ear, the hind limb consisting




Figure 3. Composite lesion scores of kidneys from 4 age groups of C57BL/6Jnia male mice generated by two different pathologists increase in a similar manner with increasing age, N=12/age group.




Figure 4. Composite lesion scores of liver from 4 age groups of C57BL/6Jnia male mice generated by two different pathologists increase in a similar manner with increasing age, N=12/age group.

Since the GGP is a new histological grading system for assessing the presence and severity of lesions in tissues from aged mice, few pathologists are familiar with the system. Therefore, a third objective of the workshop was to develop a plan to expand the Geropathology Research Network website (<http://www.geropathology.org/>) to provide teaching tools as well as potential infrastructure for integrating pathology of aging in mice. Several geropathology lesions are also featured on a regular basis. Plans were discussed to make the website more interactive. The committee also discussed an outline for a Mouse Geropathology Atlas and set future dates for working on this.

In summary, the Geropathology Grading Platform provides a way to measure biological aging in mice, i.e., how fast a particular organ or tissue ages, which will be applicable to other animal models as well. As such, it is evolving into a critical tool in preclinical intervention studies to determine if a particular drug or combination slows aging. This is possible since intervention can be started in middle age mice, and continued for several months to see if the intervention maintained the mice at a younger biological age as determined by a comparison of lesion scores at the end of the short term treatment. The Geropathology Grading Committee is a highly motivated group committed to the further development of the GGP as a useful and productive paradigm for helping increase the efficiency and translational relevance of preclinical aging intervention studies.

Funding Acknowledgement. This work was funded by R24 AG047115 (Ladiges, PI) from the National Institute on Aging, NIH.

References

Ge X, Cho A, Ciol MA, Pettan-Brewer C, Snyder J, Rabinovitch P, Ladiges W. Grip strength is potentially an early indicator of age-related decline in mice. *Pathobiol Aging Age Relat Dis*. 2016 Sep 8;6:32981. doi: 10.3402/pba.v6.32981.

Ladiges W, Ikeno Y, Niedernhofer L, McIndoe RA, Ciol MA, Ritchey J, Liggitt D. The Geropathology Research Network: An Interdisciplinary Approach for Integrating Pathology Into Research on Aging. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2016 Apr;71(4):431-4. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glv079. Epub 2015 Aug 4. PMID: 26243216.

Ladiges W. Pathology assessment is necessary to validate translational endpoints in preclinical aging studies. *Pathobiol Aging Age Relat Dis*. 2016 Mar 23;6:31478. doi:10.3402/pba.v6.31478. eCollection 2016. PMID:27015829.

Ladiges W, Snyder JM, Wilkinson E, Imai DM, Snider T, Ge X, Ciol M, Pettan-Brewer C, Pillai S PS, Morton J, Quarles E, Rabinovitch R, Niedernhofer LJ, Liggitt D. A new pre-clinical paradigm for testing anti-aging therapeutics. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2017 February;72(2):125-7.

Niedernhofer LJ, Kirkland JL, Ladiges W. Molecular pathology endpoints useful for aging studies. *Ageing Res Rev*. 2016 Oct 6. pii: S1568-1637(16)30057-5.